

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,041	07/25/2003	Fay M. Sommer	5898-000210	3729
27572 75	90 09/27/2005		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			SHAH, MANISH S	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ \ <i>I</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U
	10/627,041	SOMMER ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Manish S. Shah	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this commur IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	<u>August 2005</u> .		
· <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·		rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the least of the specific specifi	ccepted or b) objected to by the deduction of the drawing of the d	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/19/05.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 8) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		)

Application/Control Number: 10/627,041

Art Unit: 2853

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 6-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Recent Progress in color Management and Communications (# XP 002306034).

Recent Progress in Color Management and Communication discloses a four ink set (page: 62) including a cyan ink, a magenta ink, a yellow ink and a black ink, wherein a cyan ink with CIELAB colorimetric aim value of L\* = 53.9, a\* = -35.9, b\* = -50.4 and  $\Delta E^*_{ab}$  = 6; a magenta ink with CIELAB colorimetric aim value of L\* = 46.3, a\* = 74.4, b\* = -4.8 and  $\Delta E^*_{ab}$  = 6; a yellow ink with CIELAB colorimetric aim value of L\* = 86.5, a\* = -6.6, b\* = 91.1 and  $\Delta E^*_{ab}$  = 6 (page: 63; see Table: 1). They also disclose the step of applying a color profile in the color separation process, wherein the color profile is a profile on a substrate on which the color image is printed (page: 64, 65). They also discloses that the printing steps are performed by digital printing process using any kind of substrate (page: 64).

The reference (034), is not exactly matching the luminance values, they slightly differ. Apart from these small differences, the exact values for the luminance parameters are not essential for the alleged invention. In the description of the present

invention, figure: 1 and figure: 2 shows the CIELAB diagrams to show the advantage of the color gamut over the SWOP resp. hexachrome-gamut do not take into account the luminance-parameter of the triple values.

Therefore, varying the luminance values of the ink set cannot be regarded as being inventive and because of that the present reference (034) reads on the subject matter of claims 1, 6-9 & 14.

2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Recent Progress in color Management and Communications (# XP 002306034) in view of IPA Bulletin (# XP 002306035).

Recent Progress in Color Management and Communication discloses all the limitation of the ink set except that the ink have Status T optical density of about 1.5 for cyan, about 1.5 for the magenta, and about 1.15 for the yellow ink.

IPA bulletin teaches that to get the high density, and better printed image, ink have Status T optical density of about 1.5 for cyan, about 1.5 for the magenta, and about 1.15 for the yellow ink (see figure: 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition of Recent Progress in Color Management and Communication (034) by the aforementioned teaching of IPA Bulletin (035) in order to have the high density, and better printed image.

3. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Recent Progress in color Management and Communications (# XP 002306034) in view of Kline (# US 5656331).

Recent Progress in Color Management and Communication discloses all the limitation of the ink set except that (1) the inks are heat set ink or cold set ink. (2) One or more of the inks includes one or more fluorescent materials.

Kline teaches that to get the printed image with metallic finish, inks are heat set ink or cold set ink. They also teaches that one or more of the inks includes one or more fluorescent materials (column: 9, line: 5-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition of Recent Progress in Color Management and Communication (034) by the aforementioned teaching of Kline in order to have the high density, metallic finish printed image.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/627,041

Art Unit: 2853

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). 288K

Manish S. Shah **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2853

Page 5

9/22/05